The opinion in support of the order being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 29

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICMAILED

MAR - 8 2002

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JIM FARQUHAR, KEN DORF, BRANDT WEIBEZAHN, IGGONI FAJARDO and CHARLES CENTOFANTE

Application No. 08/818,520

**ORDER** 

Before STONER, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

This is an order under 37 CFR § 1.196(d). 37 CFR § 1.196(d) provides:

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences may require appellant to address any matter that is deemed appropriate for a reasoned decision on the pending appeal. Appellant will be given a non-extendable time period within which to respond to such a requirement.